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Abstract
This is a preliminary report on the safety and efficacy of 1.5 ATA hyperbaric oxygen therapy (HBOT) in military subjects with chronic blast-induced mild to moderate traumatic brain injury (TBI)/post-concussion syndrome (PCS) and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). Sixteen military subjects received 40 1.5 ATA/60 min HBOT sessions in 30 days. Symptoms, physical and neurological exams, SPECT brain imaging, and neuropsychological and psychological testing were completed before and within 1 week after treatment. Subjects experienced reversible middle ear barotrauma (5), transient deterioration in symptoms (4), and reversible bronchospasm (1); one subject withdrew. Post-treatment testing demonstrated significant improvement in: symptoms, neurological exam, full-scale IQ (+14.8 points; p<0.001), WMS IV Delayed Memory (p = 0.026), WMS-IV Working Memory (p = 0.003), Stroop Test (p < 0.001), TOVA Impulsivity (p = 0.041), TOVA Variability (p = 0.045), Grooved Pegboard (p = 0.028), PCS symptoms (Rivermead PCSQ: p = 0.0002), PTSD symptoms (PCL-M: p < 0.001), depression (PHQ-9: p < 0.001), anxiety (GAD-7: p = 0.007), quality of life (MPQoL: p = 0.003), and self-report of percent of normal (p < 0.001), SPECT coefficient of variation in all white matter and some gray matter ROIs after the first HBOT, and in half of white matter ROIs after 40 HBOT sessions, and SPECT statistical parametric mapping analysis (diffuse improvements in regional cerebral blood flow after 1 and 40 HBOT sessions). Forty 1.5 ATA HBOT sessions in 1 month was safe in a military cohort with chronic blast-induced PCS and PTSD. Significant improvements occurred in symptoms, abnormal physical exam findings, cognitive testing, and quality-of-life measurements, with concomitant significant improvements in SPECT.
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Introduction

Blow-induced traumatic brain injury (TBI) and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) are diagnoses of particular concern in the United States because of the volume of affected servicemen and women from the conflicts in Iraq and Afghanistan. A Rand report (Tanielian and Jaycox, 2008) estimates that 300,000 (18.3%) of 1.64 million military service members who have deployed to these war zones have PTSD or major depression, and 320,000 (19.5%) have experienced a TBI. Overall, approximately 546,000 have one of the three diagnoses, and 82,000 have symptoms of all three (symptoms of TBI refer to the post-concussion syndrome [PCS]). The frequency of the combined diagnoses in veterans of mild TBI and PTSD has recently been estimated to be between 5 and 7% (Carlson, 2010). With a probable diagnosis of mild TBI the combined diagnosis incidence rises to 33–39% (Carlson, 2010). A Walter Reed Army Institute of Research post-deployment survey of 4618 soldiers reported that 15.2% of the injured had a history of loss of consciousness or altered mental status (Hoge et al., 2008). That study also found that 43.9% of those with a history of loss of consciousness and
27.3% of those with a history of altered mental status met criteria for PTSD.

Evidence-based treatment for PTSD exists, but problems with access to and quality of treatment have been problematic in the military setting (Tanielian and Jaycox, 2008). Treatment of the symptomatic manifestations of mild TBI, the PCS, is limited. Treatment consists of off-label use of FDA black-box labeled psychoactive medications, counseling, and stimulative and adaptive strategies. There is no effective treatment for the combined diagnoses of PCS and PTSD. The purpose of this study is to explore the feasibility, safety, and treatment effects of hyperbaric oxygen therapy on PCS and PTSD.

Hyperbaric oxygen therapy (HBOT) is a medical treatment that uses greater than ambient pressure oxygen as a drug by fully enclosing a person or animal in a pressure vessel and then adjusting the dose of the drug to treat pathophysiological processes of diseases (Harch and Neubauer, 1999). At 2.0–3.0 atmospheres absolute (ATA) HBOT is a reimbursed treatment for approximately 15 diagnoses (Centers, 2006; Gesell, 2009). HBOT has not been applied to PTSD to our knowledge, while the evidence for its effectiveness in PCS is scant. Since 1989 we and others have investigated the application of a lower-pressure protocol of HBOT, HBOT 1.5 ATA, to patients with a variety of chronic neurological disorders (Golden et al., 2002; Harch and Neubauer, 1999, 2004a, 2009b, 2009c; Harch et al., 1994, 1996a; Neubauer et al., 1994), based on initial studies done by Neubauer (Neubauer et al., 1990) in chronic stroke. Few of the chronic TBI patients had PCS from mild TBI, blast-induced PCS, or blast-induced PCS with PTSD. We published the first application of HBOT 1.5 ATA to chronic blast-induced PCS with PTSD in 2009 (Harch and Fogarty, 2009a).

Oxygen toxicity (Clark, 1993; U.S. Navy, 2008) is a concern in any HBOT study. The most severe manifestation is seizure. A study of HBOT on sub-acute moderate to severe TBI at 2.0 ATA (Lin et al., 2008) reported a 9% seizure rate. At doses less than 2.0 ATA, side effects and toxicity in chronic brain injured patients have been noted only with prolonged courses of HBOT (i.e., 70–500 treatments [Harch, 2002]).

We report the safe application of a 29-day treatment course of 1.5 ATA HBOT to 16 U.S. servicemen with mild to moderate blast-induced PCS, or PCS with PTSD, and note a biphasic response, with transient worsening of symptoms in 4 of the 16 subjects, followed by improvement as treatment continued. These veterans experienced symptomatic, physical, cognitive, affective, and brain blood flow improvements.

Methods

Study design and protocol

The design is a pilot proof-of-concept study with pre- and post-testing and no control group. Subjects completed a history and physical exam by the P.I., clinical interview by the neuropsychologist, psychometric testing, symptom and quality-of-life questionnaires, baseline single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT), first HBOT the following day, and repeat SPECT 3 h after the first HBOT. Subjects commenced twice/day, 5 day/week 1.5 ATA/60 min total dive time HBOT until 40 HBOT sessions were completed. Within 5 days of final HBOT subjects underwent repeat focused history, physical exam, psychometric testing, questionnaires, and SPECT.

Inclusion criteria

Subjects had to be 18–65 years old, with one or more mild to moderate TBIs characterized by loss of consciousness due to blast injury that was a minimum of 1 year old and occurred after 9/11/01. They had to have a prior diagnosis of chronic TBI/PCS or TBI/PCS/PTSD by military or civilian specialists, with an absence of acute cardiac arrest or hemorrhagic shock at the time of TBI, Disability Rating Scale score (Rappaport et al., 1982) of 0–3, negative urine toxicology screen for drugs of abuse, negative pregnancy test in females, otherwise good health, and less than 90% on the Percent Back to Normal Rating Scale (PBNRS; Powell et al., 2001).

Exclusion criteria

Subjects were screened out of the study with pulmonary disease that precludes HBOT (e.g., bronchospasm unresponsive to medication or bullous emphysema), unstable medical conditions that are contraindicated in HBOT (e.g., severe congestive heart failure or heart failure requiring hospital emergency evaluation or admission in the previous year), severe confinement anxiety (e.g., patients who require anesthesia or conscious sedation for MRI), participation in another experimental trial with active interventions, high probability of inability to complete the experimental protocol (e.g., terminal condition), previous HBOT, history of hospitalization for past TBI, stroke, non-febrile seizures, or any seizure history other than seizure at the time of TBI, past or current history of mental retardation (baseline full-scale intelligence quotient [FSIQ] score ≤70), alcohol or drug abuse (Michigan Alcohol Screening Test [MAST] or Drug Abuse Screening Test score [DAST] >3), or pre- or post-TBI history of systemic illness with impact on the CNS (per P.I. decision).

Symptom and physical exam scoring

Subjects constructed a prioritized symptom list and answered neurological and constitutional symptom questions from the P.I.’s standard questionnaire (see Appendix). Abnormal components of the physical exam were videotaped and then replayed before the final exam after HBOT for comparison. After the 40th HBOT session, subjects judged all symptoms as “better,” “worse,” or “same,” and the P.I. did the same for the physical exam abnormalities. Exam items inadvertently omitted on retesting were scored as unchanged. Six months following the last HBOT session subjects were queried by phone about the status of their prioritized symptom list. Each subject was asked to rate each symptom as better, worse, or the same compared to the status of that symptom before HBOT.

Psychometric testing

Table 1 lists neuropsychological and psychological, quality of life, screening diagnostic tests, and the schedule of administration. The choice of tests was guided by past experience with pre- and post-testing for HBOT effects in chronic TBI. IQ testing was included instead of more easily measured variables like reaction time, because of a concern that the measures reflect social relevance and the reported deficits from injury, such as frontal lobe (attention, executive function, motor speed, decision speed, and working memory), general intellectual ability, memory, PCS symptoms, quality of life,
and affective symptoms (anxiety or depression). Practice and test/retest effects were minimized by choice of tests, or where possible using alternate tests (e.g., Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-IV [WAIS-IV] on pre-test and Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence [WASI] post-test). All tests were outcome tests except the Wechsler Test of Adult Reading (WTAR), Green, MAST, DAST, and Combat Experience Scale (CES). The original screening PBNRS is defined in Table 1. It was expanded at psychometric test sessions to include cognitive, emotional, and physical domains, and each subject was asked to rate his or her current percent of premorbid normal function in each domain. Prior diagnoses of TBI/PCS and PTSD were confirmed or refuted by using clinical interviews, symptom lists, the Rivermead Post Concussion Symptoms Questionnaire (PCS: ≥3 on at least 3 questions [Sterr et al., 2006]), the PTSD Checklist-Military (total ≥50; Andrykowski et al., 1998; Tanielian and Jaycox, 2008), and Diagnostic and Statistical Manual-IV (DSM-IV) criteria for the diagnoses.

**SPECT brain blood flow imaging**

Subjects underwent SPECT brain blood flow imaging performed by a single technologist on a Picker Prism 3000 XP Triple-Head gamma camera system before, within 4 h after the first HBOT session, and within 48 h after the 40th HBOT session. Subjects were placed on a gurney in the supine position, with the head of the bed elevated 30° degrees, in a designated quiet low-light area of the nuclear medicine department. Heparin lock IV catheter was placed and after at least 15 min of no speech or movement ~25 mCi of 99mTc technetium ethyl cysteinate dimer (ECD) was injected and followed with a 10 cc normal saline flush. The patient remained quiet and motionless for another 55 min, and then was placed supine on the scanning couch. The head was secured with tape to the head cradle and the subject was aligned with an overhead laser. Acquisition entailed a 360° rotation with 40 stops, 20 sec/stop, on a 128×128 matrix, using low-energy high-resolution fan beam collimators. Cine was viewed for gross motion artifacts and the study was immediately repeated if the image was motion degraded.

Processing was performed by a single off-site experienced nuclear technologist. Mild motion artifact was corrected with Picker motion attenuation software. Raw data were processed by transverse reconstruction using 360° filtered back projection and a ramp filter, followed by a LoPass filter, order 2.2. Cut-off was taken at the intersection of the best fit LoPass filter and noise on the power spectrum graph. Per file attenuation correction and best fit ellipse were applied. Images were oblique reformatted with slice thickness at 4 mm (2 pixels), aligned, and off-center zoom was applied (20 cm² area). Images were presented in all 3 orthogonal planes.

**SPECT texture analysis**

Transverse processed images were analyzed by author E.F.F. (unblinded to study and scan sequence) to capture the pre-/post-HBOT SPECT pattern change from heterogeneity to homogeneity (Fig. 1) that we have observed in previous HBOT-treated blast cases (Harch et al., 1996a, 2009a; Harch and Neubauer, 2009c). Osirix™ DICOM software was used to perform a first-order texture analysis of count histograms (Dougherty, 1996). In previous HBOT-treated blast cases the pattern shift (apparent normalization) corresponded to a relative reduction in high flow areas, and a relative increase in low flow areas (insets in Fig. 1), or narrowing of the count histogram that was registered as a reduction in standard deviation of counts/pixel (SD), and coefficient of variation (CV) (see below).

Images were oriented and aligned by visual inspection. A single transverse slice was taken above the level of the deep gray matter in the centrum semiovale of each patient's three SPECT brain scans. A circular region of interest (ROI) was chosen of sufficient size, 0.781 cm², to fit within the cortical boundary of the baseline (first) scan. Five cortical and two

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. List of Psychometric Measures, When Administered, and Domain Measured</th>
<th>Pre</th>
<th>Post</th>
<th>Domain measured</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Combat Experience Scale (Keane et al., 1989)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Combat experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Word Memory Test (Lesak et al., 2004)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Effort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wechsler Test of Adult Reading (Wechsler, 2001)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Estimate premorbid IQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan Alcohol Screening Test (MAST Revised, 2009)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Alcohol use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Abuse Screening Test (Gavin et al., 1989)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Drug use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Back To Normal Rating (Powell et al., 2001). Current percent of normal premorbid level of function</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Rating recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rivermead Post-Concussion Symptom Questionnaire (King et al., 1995)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>PCS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTSD Checklist-Military (PTSD, 2009)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Rating PTSD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-IV (WAIS-IV, 2009)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>IQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence (WASI, 2009)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>IQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test of Variables of Attention (Greenberg, 1996)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Attention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroop Test (Lesak et al., 2004)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Attention, Executive function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finger Tapping Test (Reitan and Wolfson, 1993)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Motor speed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grooved Pegboard (Reitan and Wolfson, 1993)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Motor coordination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wechsler Memory Scale-IV (WMS-IV, 2009)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Memory, executive function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rivermead Paragraph Memory (Wilson et al., 1985)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Memory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived Quality Of Life (Patrick et al., 1988)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Satisfaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (Kroenke et al., 2001)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Depression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalized Anxiety Disorder-7 (Spitzer et al., 2006)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Anxiety</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PTSD, post-traumatic stress disorder; PCS, post-concussion syndrome; IQ, intelligence quotient.
white matter ROIs were selected in each hemisphere. The
cortical ROIs were placed along template ray-lines cast at 30°
angle intervals on either side of the anatomic center point in
the image, assigning 0° to 12:00 on a clock face of the trans-
verse slice. The white matter ROIs were placed along the 60°
and 120° ray-lines from the center point. Thus, the template
ray-lines for the left and right hemispheres were at 1:00 and
11:00, respectively, for the 30° ray, 2:00 and 10:00 for the 60°
ray, and so on. To aid best fit visualization for placement of
the ROI on the second and third scans the pre-HBOT baseline
image was individually fused in Osirix to the second and third
scans. If the template ROIs landed across the cortical junction
with white matter or across obvious focal metabolic lesion
margins when first placed by whole scan best-fit fusion, they
were adjusted along the ray-line to sample appropriate scan-
to-scan concordant tissues.

For each ROI mean number of counts/pixel (MCP), SD and
CV (standard deviation as a percent of mean) of counts/pixel
were measured for all three scans for each patient. Group
averages for each ROI of mean counts/pixel, SD of counts/
pixel, and CV were taken for each scan’s ROIs and the dif-
ferences were compared from baseline to post-1 and post-40-
HBOT scans. Statistical analysis was performed as described
below. A decrease in CV was the primary SPECT outcome.

**SPECT statistical parametric mapping analysis**

Differences in ECD uptake were analyzed using SPM8
software (Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology,
London, U.K.) implemented on the Matlab platform (Math-
Works Inc., Sherborn, MA) by authors D.A. and D.V.T. Au-
thor D.V.T. performed all analyses and was asked to compare
scan A to scan C, analyze for change, significance of change,
and then direction of change, starting with a p value for each
voxel of <0.01. He was blinded to all details of the scans,
including context (clinical study), patients/subjects, normal
versus injury, treatment or not, one versus multiple groups,
and location, and expectation of change or direction of change
in the scans. D.V.T. was then asked to perform a similar
comparison of scan B to A and C.

The images were spatially normalized using a 12-parameter
affine transformation, followed by non-linear deformations
(Ashburner and Friston, 1999) to minimize the residual sum
of squares between each scan and a reference or template
image conforming to the standard space defined by the
Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) template. The original
image matrix obtained at 128×128×29 with voxel sizes of
2.16×2.16×6.48 mm were transformed and resliced to a
79×95×68 matrix with voxel sizes of 2×2×2 mm, consistent
with the MNI template. Images were smoothed using an 8-mm
full-width half-maximum isotropic gaussian kernel. Within-
subject comparisons were performed by pair-wise t-test be-
tween the first and second scan, and between the first and
third scan. Anatomical locations of the significant statistical
parameter maps were identified by registering clusters using
the Anatomical Automatic Labeling (AAL) atlas (Cyceron).

**Hyperbaric oxygen therapy**

Hyperbaric oxygen therapy was performed in monoplace
hyperbaric chambers. Patients were compressed and decom-
pressed at 1–2 psi (pounds per square inch) on 100% oxygen,
the rate depending on patient comfort and preference. Depth
of pressurization was 1.5 ATA. Total dive time was 60 min.
Treatments were twice/day, 5 days/week, with a 3- to 4-h
surface interval between treatments. Protocol goal was 40
HBOT sessions.

**Statistical analysis**

Values of psychometric tests were acquired pre- and post-
40 HBOT sessions, and SPECT parameters were acquired pre-
post-1 HBOT, and post-40 HBOT sessions. For each SPECT
ROI at each time point, mean, standard deviation, median,
range (minimum and maximum), and 95% confidence
interval around the estimated mean were calculated for mean of counts/pixel, SD of counts/pixel, and CV of counts/pixel. Changes in psychometric and SPECT parameters between pairs of time points (pre-HBOT to post-1-HBOT, pre-HBOT to post-40-HBOTs, and post-1-HBOT to post-40-HBOTs) were similarly summarized, with the inclusion of a $p$ value indicating whether or not the mean change was significantly different from zero. The $p$ values were obtained by the paired Student’s $t$-test if the changes were nearly normally distributed, or by the non-parametric Wilcoxon signed-ranks test if the changes were significantly non-normally distributed, by the Anderson-Darling test. One subject who withdrew before completion of treatment and post-treatment testing was included in the demographic data and safety/feasibility analysis, but excluded from the per protocol analysis (outcome testing).

Results

Subjects

Eight active duty and eight recently retired servicemen were self-referred or referred by their military commanders/physicians. Fourteen subjects had pre-study diagnoses of TBI/PCS with PTSD, and two subjects had TBI/PCS. Pre-study diagnostic evaluations and criteria were not available to the study authors. All subjects underwent brain MRI in the military prior to treatment. All subjects gave informed consent and enrolled in LSU IRB #7051.

Demographics of the sample

Sample demographics are reported in Table 2. Sixteen subjects were enrolled. One subject withdrew from the study due to complications described below. Since he did not complete post-treatment testing he was included in the demographic data, but excluded from all data analyses. All subjects were male and averaged: 30 years old, 2.8 years post-TBI, loss of consciousness of 2 min (excluding 2 subjects with 4.5 and 9 h), 6 years of service, 2.7 blast TBIs, Rivermead Post Concussion Symptoms Questionnaire; LOC; PCL-M score (scale: 17–85) 67 (48–84); HBOTs/day 39 (27–40)/29 days (16–43); MAST score (scale: 0–22) 2.1 (0–3); DAST score (scale: 0–20) 0.6 (0–3); Disability Rating (scale: 0–30) 1.6 (5–3); PBNSQ pre-study 43% (5–72.5); Pre-TBI estimated IQ (average) 104.9; Years of education (average) 12.9.

Safety of the HBOT protocol

Mild reversible middle ear barotrauma (MEBT) occurred in five subjects, four of these in the setting of upper respiratory infections at 8, 27, 27, and 30 HBOTs, requiring protocol breaks of 5 days, termination of protocol, 1 day, and 16 days, respectively. The fifth subject experienced no protocol break. All were treated with systemic decongestants with or without topical decongestants. Four of the five resumed treatment and successfully finished the protocol. The fifth subject experienced a series of problems that included a delay to scanning and treatment secondary to a scanner malfunction, followed by shortness of breath, beginning with the first HBOT, that was incident to each HBOT, and increased during his time in the chamber. Pre-/post-HBOT peak flow reductions were measured, he was medicated to symptom relief with albuterol pre-each HBOT, and showed a reduction in bronchospasm and shortness of breath with subsequent HBOTs. His bronchospasm was felt to be due to the low-humidity oxygen environment of the monoplace chamber. This subject subsequently experienced an upper respiratory infection (URI), MEBT, and bullous myringitis at 27 HBOTs. Because of the delay to testing caused by the scanner repair, the subject’s schedule could not accommodate a protocol break to resolve the URI/MEBT and finish the protocol. He withdrew from the study and returned home.

Table 2. Subject Demographic Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient characteristic</th>
<th>Safety population (all enrolled subjects)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of subjects</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>All male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age (years, range)</td>
<td>30 (21–45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBI-to-HBOT interval (years, range)</td>
<td>2.8 (1.25–4.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of LOC (min, range)</td>
<td>Mean = 2.0 for 13 subjects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1–10 min); excluding 2 subjects (4.5 h and 9 h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service at time of LOC (years, range)</td>
<td>6.0 (1–17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. blast TBIs with LOC or altered LOC</td>
<td>2.7 (1–7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPCSQ score (scale: 0–64)</td>
<td>39 (27–47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCL-M score (scale: 17–85)</td>
<td>67 (48–84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBOTs/day</td>
<td>39 HBOTs (27–40)/29 days (16–43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAST score (scale: 0–22)</td>
<td>2.1 (0–3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAST score (scale: 0–20)</td>
<td>0.6 (0–3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disability Rating (scale: 0–30)</td>
<td>1.6 (5–3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBNSQ pre-study</td>
<td>43% (5–72.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-TBI estimated IQ (average)</td>
<td>104.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of education (average)</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numerical variables are summarized as mean and range (minimum to maximum).

TBI, traumatic brain injury; IQ, intelligence quotient; PBNSQ, Percent Back to Normal Rating Scale; DAST, Drug Abuse Screening Test; MAST, Michigan Alcohol Screening Test; HBOT, hyperbaric oxygen therapy; PCL-M, PTSD Checklist-Military; RPCSQ, Rivermead Post Concussion Symptoms Questionnaire; LOC, loss of consciousness.
no other untoward side effects. Specifically, we found no
evidence of oxygen toxicity (Clark, 1993; U.S. Navy, 2008).

Effectiveness of HBOT for chronic blast TBI/PCS
and PTSD

Effectiveness of HBOT was measured across multiple
domains: symptoms, physical exam, psychometric testing,
quality of life, and SPECT.

Symptoms and physical exams

Twelve of 15 subjects (80%) reported improvement in a
majority of their symptoms on their prioritized symptom list
after HBOT. Eleven of 15 subjects (73%) reported improve-
ment in a majority of symptoms on the primary author’s
standard symptom questionnaire. Response to HBOT ac-
ccording to specific symptoms is recorded in Table 3, which
combined symptoms from the prioritized list and the primary
author’s questionnaire. Headache, sleep disruption, short-
term memory loss, cognitive problems, decreased energy,
self-characterized PTSD symptoms or nightmares (grouped
as “PTSD symptoms,” but not further queried or defined since
PTSD symptomatology was quantified for all subjects in the
PCL-M) short temper/irritability, mood swings, imbalance,
photophobia, and depression, which were present in a ma-
jority of subjects, were improved in 44–93% of the subjects.
Patients with decreased hearing, tinnitus, and arthralgias
reported minimal change: 20, 37, and 0% improvement, re-
spectively.

On physical exam all 15 subjects were found to have im-
proved on a majority of their abnormal findings. Imbalance
and incoordination were the most common abnormal physi-
al exam findings (Table 4). Patients experienced improve-
ment in 87–100% of these findings. In addition, 64% (7/11)
of subjects who were on psychoactive or analgesic prescrip-
tion medication before HBOT decreased or discontinued their
medication use during HBOT; 11% of those on analgesic
medication (1/9) increased analgesic medication use. Psy-
choactive medications pre-HBOT were as follows: selec-
tive serotonin reuptake inhibitors/serotonin norepinephrine
reuptake inhibitors/atyypical antipsychotics/ atypical antide-
pressants (9 subjects), anxiolytic/hypnotics (8), anticonvul-
sants (5), anti-migraine (4), narcotics (3), vasodilators (2),
muscle relaxants (2), antihistamine/antiemetics (1), cholines-
terase inhibitor (1), and stimulants (1). Nine subjects were
taking more than one medication, one subject was taking one
medication, and five subjects were on no psychoactive med-
ications.

At 6-month phone follow-up 11/12 subjects (92%) who
reported improvement on the majority of the symptoms on
their prioritized symptom list after 40 HBOTs had maintained
this improvement. One of the three subjects who did not re-
port initial improvement now reported improvement in the
majority of symptoms on his prioritized list.

Psychometric testing, affective, TBI/PCS symptom,
and quality-of-life measures

Change from pre- to post-HBOT on the neuropsychological
outcome variables is shown in Table 5. Significant improve-
ment was recorded on 7 of 13 measures at p < 0.05 to p < 0.001
level and beyond. Global intellectual function and measures
of frontal lobe executive function (Working Memory and
Stroop Test) showed the largest improvements. The Full Scale
IQ increased nearly a full standard deviation, an average of
14.8 points, from 95.8 to 110.6 (p < 0.001). The WMS-IV
Working Memory Index increased 9.9 points, from a pre-
HBOT average of 97.0 to a post-HBOT average of 106.9
(p = 0.003). The Stroop Color/Word Interference score im-
proved 11.0 points, from a mean of 84.3 to 95.3 after HBOT
(p < 0.001).

<p>| Table 3. Symptom Changes (15 Subjects) |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom</th>
<th>Better (%)</th>
<th>No change (%)</th>
<th>Worse (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>87 (13/15)</td>
<td>13 (2/15)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep disruption</td>
<td>75 (9/12)</td>
<td>25 (3/12)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-term memory</td>
<td>92 (11/12)</td>
<td>8 (1/12)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognition</td>
<td>93 (14/15)</td>
<td>7 (1/15)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy level</td>
<td>87 (13/15)</td>
<td>12 (2/15)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-traumatic stress</td>
<td>50 (2/5) P</td>
<td>50 (3/5) P</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>disorder symptoms (P),</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or nightmares (N)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short temper/irritability</td>
<td>82 (9/11)</td>
<td>18 (2/11)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mood swings</td>
<td>87 (13/15)</td>
<td>12 (2/15)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imbalance</td>
<td>55 (6/11)</td>
<td>45 (5/11)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine motor</td>
<td>75 (3/4)</td>
<td>25 (1/4)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>incoordination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased hearing</td>
<td>20 (2/10)</td>
<td>80 (8/10)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinnitus</td>
<td>37 (3/8)</td>
<td>63 (5/8)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>93 (13/14)</td>
<td>7 (1/14)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthralgias</td>
<td>0 (0/5)</td>
<td>100 (5/5)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photophobia</td>
<td>44 (4/9)</td>
<td>44 (4/9)</td>
<td>11 (1/9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Combined symptoms from subjects’ prioritized symptom list and primary author’s standard questionnaire.
Table 5. Pre- to Post-HBOT Change for Neuropsychological Outcome Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome variables*</th>
<th>Pre-HBOT mean ± SD (15)</th>
<th>Post-HBOT mean ± SD (15)</th>
<th>Prepost diff ± SD</th>
<th>Significance of pre to post</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>median (range)</td>
<td>median (range)</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full scale IQd</td>
<td>95.8±8.4</td>
<td>110.6±10.3</td>
<td>14.8±7.4</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed memory (WMS-IV)</td>
<td>97.7±13.3</td>
<td>106.9±15.4</td>
<td>9.2±14.3</td>
<td>p=0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rivermead Paragraph</td>
<td>9.5±2.4 (15)</td>
<td>7.5±3.6 (15)</td>
<td>-2.1±3.7</td>
<td>p=0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working memory (WMS-IV)</td>
<td>10 (6–14)</td>
<td>8 (2–13)</td>
<td>-4.1±0.0</td>
<td>p=0.003*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroop color/word interference</td>
<td>84.3±12.2</td>
<td>95.3±12.8</td>
<td>11.0±9.2</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOVA inattention</td>
<td>73.3±29.6 (15)</td>
<td>75.8±27.2 (15)</td>
<td>2.5±22.8</td>
<td>p=0.514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOVA impulsivity</td>
<td>86 (40–107)</td>
<td>85 (40–107)</td>
<td>-10.1±15.2</td>
<td>p=0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOVA reaction time</td>
<td>93.1±22.5 (15)</td>
<td>99.1±14.6 (15)</td>
<td>5.9±19.3</td>
<td>p=0.254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOVA variability</td>
<td>64.4±28.7</td>
<td>75.3±24.6</td>
<td>10.9±20.2</td>
<td>p=0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finger tap dominant hand</td>
<td>90.9±18.3 (15)</td>
<td>98.6±15.0 (15)</td>
<td>7.7±20.7</td>
<td>p=0.174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finger tap non-dominant hand</td>
<td>93 (55–118)</td>
<td>98 (75–130)</td>
<td>-5.8±19.2</td>
<td>p=0.416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grooved pegboard dominant</td>
<td>88.9±19.8 (15)</td>
<td>96.8±18.8 (15)</td>
<td>7.9±12.4</td>
<td>p=0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grooved pegboard non-dominant</td>
<td>84.0±22.0 (15)</td>
<td>87.3±22.8 (15)</td>
<td>3.3±15.3</td>
<td>p=0.423</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aAll scores reported in standardized scores except for the Rivermead Paragraph Memory subtest.
*bCI, confidence interval.
*cValues are by the paired Student’s t-test, unless the data were not normally distributed, in which case the non-parametric Wilcoxon signed-ranks test was used.
*dPre-HBOT was Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-IV, and Post-HBOT was Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence.
*eNP, non-parametric Wilcoxon signed-ranks test.

Change in memory was slightly smaller but significant and clinically meaningful on the WMS-IV. The WMS-IV Delayed Memory Index increased 9.2 points, from 97.7 to 106.9 (p=0.026). The Rivermead Paragraph subtest showed a decrease from the pre-HBOT score of 9.5 units recalled to a post-HBOT score of 7.5 units recalled (p=0.049).

Improvement in attention was found on several measures but not on all. The TOVA measures of Impulsivity (p=0.041) and Variability (p=0.045) both showed significant increases from pre- to post-HBOT. The TOVA Inattention and Reaction Time measures improved a few points from pre- to post-HBOT, but neither was significant.

Only one measure of motor speed and fine-motor coordination (Grooved Pegboard for the dominant hand) showed a significant improvement (7.9 points, p=0.028). Dominant hand motor speed (Finger Tapping Test) increased from a standard score of 90.9 to 98.6, but failed to reach significance (p=0.174). Neither the Finger Tapping Test nor the Grooved Pegboard pre- to post-HBOT scores were significant for the non-dominant hand.

Table 6 presents the pre- and post-HBOT changes for outcome variables of emotional recovery from PTSD, anxiety, and depression, symptoms of post-concussion, and the subjects’ ratings of the percentage of normal they felt for cognitive, physical, and emotional functioning. All 8 variables showed a significant improvement from pre- to post-HBOT. On the PTSD Checklist-Military, the average score dropped 20.3 points, from 67.4 to 47.1 (p<0.001). After HBOT 8 of 14 subjects no longer met the PCL-M threshold criteria for a diagnosis of PTSD. The Rivermead Post Concussion Symptoms Questionnaire average score dropped 15.6 points, from 39.7 pre-HBOT to 24.1 after treatment (p=0.0002). Together, these two measurements indicated a major improvement in the symptoms of PTSD and PCS. Consistent with these findings, the subjects reported a significant drop in depression (PHQ-9; p<0.001) and anxiety (GAD-7; p=0.007), and a concomitant increase in their perceived quality of life (p=0.003). One component of the PHQ-9 addressed suicidal ideation on a four point scale: 0, none; 1, several days in last 2 weeks; 2, more than 1/2 of the days of last 2 weeks; and 3, nearly every day. The suicidal ideation component of the PHQ-9 improved after treatment by an average of 4.0±0.63 points. This improvement was significant by the Wilcoxon test (p=0.048). As a group, the subjects felt that they were less than 50% back to normal for cognitive, physical, and emotional function when they started treatment. They reported a mean increase of 17.4 points for cognitive function (p=0.002), 19.5 points for physical function (p<0.001), and 28.8 points for emotional
function \( p < 0.001 \), increases of 39\%, 45\%, and 96\%, respectively.

### SPECT Brain Blood Flow Imaging

SPECT regional cerebral blood flow (rCBF) indices are presented in Table 7: MCP, SD, and CV of counts/pixel in each ROI. MCP, SD, and CV were compared from first scan (pre-HBOT) to after 1 HBOT and after 40 HBOTs, and from after 1 HBOT to after 40 HBOTs. Significant changes are shown in Table 8.

SPECT demonstrated significant increases in MCP in the right hemisphere only from baseline to post-1 HBOT (30, 120, and 150° gray matter and 120° white matter ROIs); there were no significant changes from baseline to post-40 HBOTs. In the left hemisphere SPECT demonstrated significant increases in MCP from baseline to post-1 HBOT (30, 60, 120, and 150° gray matter ROIs and 60 and 120° white matter ROIs), and from baseline to post-40 HBOTs (120° gray matter and 60 and 120° white matter ROIs).

SPECT demonstrated significant decreases in the SD of counts/pixel in the right hemisphere only from baseline to post-1 HBOT (90 and 150° gray matter ROIs and 60° white matter ROI); there were no significant changes from baseline to post-40 HBOTs. However, there were significant increases (a reversal of effect) from post-1 to post-40 HBOTs (60 and 150° gray matter ROIs). In the left hemisphere SPECT demonstrated significant decreases in the SD of counts/pixel only

---

### Table 7. MCP, SD, and CV Counts/Pixel SPECT Brain Blood Flow in Right (R) and Left (L) Hemispheres ROIs of a Single Transverse Slice in the Centrum Semiovale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROI</th>
<th>Pre</th>
<th>PI</th>
<th>P40</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>P1</th>
<th>P40</th>
<th>CV</th>
<th>Pre</th>
<th>CV</th>
<th>P40</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R30°G</td>
<td>1433±263</td>
<td>1611±274</td>
<td>1609±399</td>
<td>58.1±24.9</td>
<td>53.6±11.8</td>
<td>69.0±37.0</td>
<td>4.07±1.61</td>
<td>3.38±0.74</td>
<td>4.28±1.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R60°G</td>
<td>1451±284</td>
<td>1635±326</td>
<td>1655±324</td>
<td>54.2±22.7</td>
<td>51.3±15.3</td>
<td>70.5±24.2</td>
<td>3.72±1.25</td>
<td>3.23±1.02</td>
<td>4.33±1.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R90°G</td>
<td>1534±263</td>
<td>1500±305</td>
<td>1504±302</td>
<td>53.0±17.2</td>
<td>41.3±17.6</td>
<td>59.0±30.0</td>
<td>3.99±1.32</td>
<td>2.75±0.96</td>
<td>3.94±1.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R120°G</td>
<td>1416±248</td>
<td>1604±294</td>
<td>1605±311</td>
<td>56.0±31.0</td>
<td>51.5±23.6</td>
<td>57.4±22.1</td>
<td>3.82±1.71</td>
<td>3.13±1.03</td>
<td>3.57±1.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R150°G</td>
<td>1501±253</td>
<td>1740±329</td>
<td>1767±348</td>
<td>71.0±34.0</td>
<td>64.0±35.0</td>
<td>81.0±43.0</td>
<td>4.66±1.72</td>
<td>3.73±1.88</td>
<td>4.59±2.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R60°W</td>
<td>820±156</td>
<td>899±171</td>
<td>983±235</td>
<td>59.0±22.7</td>
<td>41.6±16.0</td>
<td>44.1±19.8</td>
<td>7.30±2.61</td>
<td>4.58±1.56</td>
<td>4.61±2.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R120°W</td>
<td>761±162</td>
<td>851±218</td>
<td>838±219</td>
<td>62.7±25.7</td>
<td>48.8±18.9</td>
<td>55.0±29.3</td>
<td>8.15±2.83</td>
<td>5.87±2.19</td>
<td>6.80±3.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L30°G</td>
<td>1405±260</td>
<td>1593±305</td>
<td>1603±338</td>
<td>83.0±32.0</td>
<td>56.2±18.3</td>
<td>95.0±44.0</td>
<td>6.12±2.70</td>
<td>3.60±1.20</td>
<td>6.30±3.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L60°G</td>
<td>1367±274</td>
<td>1585±309</td>
<td>1614±351</td>
<td>85.0±43.0</td>
<td>68.8±22.5</td>
<td>79.3±28.0</td>
<td>6.40±3.70</td>
<td>4.41±1.05</td>
<td>5.23±2.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L90°G</td>
<td>1338±238</td>
<td>1516±319</td>
<td>1581±355</td>
<td>62.9±28.6</td>
<td>52.1±20.6</td>
<td>55.3±18.5</td>
<td>4.72±1.97</td>
<td>3.37±0.90</td>
<td>3.62±1.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L120°G</td>
<td>1385±246</td>
<td>1601±331</td>
<td>1637±309</td>
<td>58.0±23.0</td>
<td>42.0±12.4</td>
<td>60.0±35.3</td>
<td>4.30±1.96</td>
<td>2.67±0.74</td>
<td>3.58±1.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L150°G</td>
<td>1536±250</td>
<td>1728±336</td>
<td>1747±318</td>
<td>70.2±29.7</td>
<td>45.9±17.1</td>
<td>69.6±29.2</td>
<td>4.65±1.91</td>
<td>2.70±0.95</td>
<td>4.04±1.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L60°W</td>
<td>818±120</td>
<td>998±292</td>
<td>1013±254</td>
<td>56.7±26.1</td>
<td>56.0±50.0</td>
<td>68.0±30.0</td>
<td>6.90±3.00</td>
<td>5.13±2.59</td>
<td>6.91±2.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L120°W</td>
<td>745±117</td>
<td>882±136</td>
<td>922±248</td>
<td>63.4±19.1</td>
<td>53.8±18.6</td>
<td>56.8±29.9</td>
<td>8.58±2.53</td>
<td>6.15±2.01</td>
<td>6.20±3.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MCP, mean number of counts/pixel; SD, standard deviation of counts/pixel; CV, coefficient of variation; HBOT, hyperbaric oxygen therapy.
Table 8. Significant Changes in MCP, SD, and CV Counts/ Pixel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>MCP PP1</th>
<th>MCP PP40</th>
<th>MCP P1,40</th>
<th>SD PP1</th>
<th>SD PP40</th>
<th>SD P1,40</th>
<th>CV PP1</th>
<th>CV PP40</th>
<th>CV P1,40</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R-30-G</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>0.987</td>
<td>0.471</td>
<td>0.389</td>
<td>0.174</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>0.772</td>
<td>0.152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-60-G</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>0.861</td>
<td>0.441</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.204</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-90-G</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.217</td>
<td>0.967</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.282</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.865</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-120-G</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>0.991</td>
<td>0.616</td>
<td>0.895</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>0.604</td>
<td>0.294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-150-G</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.825</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.879</td>
<td>0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-60-W</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.244</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.715</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-120-W</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.261</td>
<td>0.804</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.476</td>
<td>0.510</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>0.934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-30-G</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.936</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.388</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.821</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-60-G</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.630</td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>0.582</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>0.679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-90-G</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.563</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.417</td>
<td>0.557</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-120-G</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.756</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.842</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.240</td>
<td>0.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-150-G</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.874</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.937</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-60-W</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.884</td>
<td>0.599</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.989</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-120-W</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.568</td>
<td>0.237</td>
<td>0.545</td>
<td>0.714</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.943</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Changes shown are from pre-HBOT to after the first HBOT (PP1; post-1 HBOT minus pre), pre-HBOT to after 40 HBOTs (PP40; post-40 HBOTs minus pre), and post-first HBOT to post-40 HBOTs (P1,40; post-40 HBOTs minus post-1 HBOT), in the right (R) and left (L) hemisphere ROIs at 30, 60, 90, 120, and 150° of gray (G) matter, and 60 and 120° of white (W) matter of a transverse SPECT slice in the centrum semiovale.

Positive changes were assigned to significant increases in MCP, and decreases in SD and CV are shaded green. Negative changes were assigned to decreases in MCP, and increases in SD and CV and are shaded red. Numerical figures are p values. Note differences in the right and left hemisphere MCPs for post-first and post-40th HBOT significant reductions in the SD and CV after the first HBOT in both gray and white matter, and in the white matter only after 40 HBOTs, while a reversal of this effect, significant increases, were seen in the SD and CV between the first and 40th HBOT in mostly gray matter sites and one white matter site.

MCP, mean number of counts/pixel; SD, standard deviation of counts/pixel; CV, coefficient of variation; HBOT, hyperbaric oxygen therapy.

Table 9. Top 11 Clusters of Voxels Showing Significant Increases in Brain Blood Flow after 1 HBOT Compared to Baseline Scans

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brain area</th>
<th>Cluster size KE</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Precentral left</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>30.18</td>
<td>−32</td>
<td>−22</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Temporal lobe left</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>29.64</td>
<td>−62</td>
<td>−26</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Precuneus right</td>
<td>1663</td>
<td>27.81</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>−52</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Thalamus right</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>25.15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>−6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Post-central right</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>24.44</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>−30</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Occipital left</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>23.36</td>
<td>−20</td>
<td>−72</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Lingual left</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>23.22</td>
<td>−20</td>
<td>−68</td>
<td>−10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Temporal inferior to mid-lateral right</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>22.66</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>−2</td>
<td>−36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Temporal mid-lateral left</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>22.33</td>
<td>−52</td>
<td>−64</td>
<td>−4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Frontal inferior triangle left</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>22.24</td>
<td>−48</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Superior motor area right</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>22.09</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significance level raised to p<0.001 with family-wise error correction (FWE).

HBOT, hyperbaric oxygen therapy; rCBF, regional cerebral blood flow.

from baseline to post-1 HBOT (30 and 150° gray matter ROIs). There were significant increases (reversal of effect) from baseline to post-40 HBOTs (60° white matter ROI) and post-1 to post-40 HBOTs (30 and 150° gray matter ROIs).

SPECT demonstrated significant reductions in the CV of counts/pixel in the right hemisphere from baseline to post-1 HBOT (60, 90, and 150° gray matter and 60 and 120° white matter ROIs), and from baseline to post-40 HBOTs (60° white matter ROI). There were significant increases (reversal of effect) from post-1 HBOT to post-40 HBOTs (60, 90, and 150° gray matter ROIs). In the left hemisphere SPECT demonstrated significant reductions in the CV of counts/pixel from baseline to post-1 HBOT (30, 60, 90, 120, and 150° gray matter ROIs and 120° white matter ROI), and from baseline to post-40 HBOTs (120° white matter ROI). However, there were significant increases (reversal of effect) from post-1 HBOT to post-40 HBOTs (30 and 150° gray matter ROIs and 60° white matter ROI).

SPM results

Initial statistical parametric maps (SPM) with significance set at p<0.01 with family-wise error correction (FWE) for multiple comparisons showed diffuse improvements in brain blood flow. In order to separate clusters into discrete anatomical locations the significance level was raised to p<0.001 with FWE. This analysis revealed that 85 clusters had significantly improved after 1 HBOT. The 11 most significant regions of change occurred in the precentral, temporal, thalamic, and occipital regions, and are displayed in Table 9 and Figure 2 (the eleventh was included because of its location in the motor area). There were no significant differences when comparing the second (after 1 HBOT) and the third (after 40 HBOTs) scans at this level of significance. However, when comparing the third scan to the baseline scan the significance level threshold had to be raised to p<0.0001 with FWE to achieve cluster separation into discrete anatomical areas. At this level of significance 50 significant clusters were identified.

Table 9. Top 11 Clusters of Voxels Showing Significant Increases in Brain Blood Flow after 1 HBOT Compared to Baseline Scans

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brain area</th>
<th>Cluster size KE</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Precentral left</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>30.18</td>
<td>−32</td>
<td>−22</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Temporal lobe left</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>29.64</td>
<td>−62</td>
<td>−26</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Precuneus right</td>
<td>1663</td>
<td>27.81</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>−52</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Thalamus right</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>25.15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>−6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Post-central right</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>24.44</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>−30</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Occipital left</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>23.36</td>
<td>−20</td>
<td>−72</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Lingual left</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>23.22</td>
<td>−20</td>
<td>−68</td>
<td>−10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Temporal inferior to mid-lateral right</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>22.66</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>−2</td>
<td>−36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Temporal mid-lateral left</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>22.33</td>
<td>−52</td>
<td>−64</td>
<td>−4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Frontal inferior triangle left</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>22.24</td>
<td>−48</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Superior motor area right</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>22.09</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significance level raised to p<0.001 with family-wise error correction (FWE).

SBOT, hyperbaric oxygen therapy; rCBF, regional cerebral blood flow.
The most significant change was in the right frontal region after 40 HBOTs. To compare significant increases in brain blood flow after 1 HBOT to changes after 40 HBOTs, a significance level of $p < 0.001$ was chosen. Cortical maps of these analyses demonstrate more widespread significant increases in brain blood flow after 40 HBOTs (Fig. 4).

To illustrate the overlap of brain areas with increased brain blood flow after 1 HBOT that also showed increased brain blood flow after 40 HBOTs, the analysis after 40 HBOTs ($p < 0.001$) was repeated using the clusters affected by 1 HBOT ($p < 0.01$) as a mask. Seventy-five significant clusters were discovered, with the top 10 most significant shown in Table 11 and Figure 5.

A separate analysis tested the hypothesis that rCBF in the hippocampus should improve after HBOT given symptomatic and measured WMS memory improvements. The changes after 1 HBOT were compared to the changes after 40 HBOTs (Fig. 6). After 1 HBOT significant changes ($p < 0.001$) were seen in hippocampal regions on both sides of the brain. The most significant changes were seen in a cluster in the inferior lateral left hippocampus ($t = 17; K_E = 93; coordinates -28, -8, and -24), followed by a cluster in the superior medial left hippocampus ($t = 14.86; K_E = 139; coordinates -22, -28, and -6$). The largest cluster was seen in the right medial hippocampus ($t = 12.69; coordinates 24, -22, and -16$). After 40 HBOTs the significant changes in the hippocampus remained on both sides of the brain ($p < 0.001$). The most significant changes in hippocampal rCBF were seen in the lateral right hippocampus ($t = 23.95; K_E = 626; coordinates 42, -18, and -18$), followed by the left medial hippocampus ($t = 14.81; K_E = 366; coordinates -20, -20, and -16$).

**Table 10. Top 10 Clusters of Voxels Showing Significant Increases in Brain Blood Flow after 40 HBOTs Compared to Baseline Scans**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brain area</th>
<th>Cluster size $K_E$</th>
<th>$T$</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Frontal mid to mid orbital right</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>39.94</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Occipital superior to calcarine right</td>
<td>7126</td>
<td>38.78</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>-78</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Temporal pole superior to insula left</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>34.14</td>
<td>-34</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Temporal Superior to post-central left</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>-55</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Cerebellum to temporal inferior left</td>
<td>1146</td>
<td>33.47</td>
<td>-22</td>
<td>-76</td>
<td>-40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Calcarine left</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>29.99</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Frontal inferior triangle to mid-lateral orbital left</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>27.79</td>
<td>-36</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Parietal superior to inferior right</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>27.11</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>-52</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Precuneus to para central lobule right</td>
<td>693</td>
<td>26.89</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Superior motor area left</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significance level raised to $p < 0.0001$ with family-wise error correction (FWE).

HBOT, hyperbaric oxygen therapy; rCBF, regional cerebral blood flow.

**Discussion**

**Safety of the HBOT protocol**

In this preliminary report of the effect of 40 HBOTs on blast-induced chronic mild to moderate PCS and PTSD we observed that HBOT 1.5 ATA is safe with no major side...
effects or complications. Although the number of subjects is small, this lack of major side effects is consistent with ours and others' previous experience with similar low-pressure HBOT in patients with more severe chronic TBI (Golden et al., 2002; Harch et al., 1994, 1996a; Neubauer et al., 1994; Harch and Neubauer, 1999, 2004a, 2009b, 2009c), but differs from a report by Lin and associates (Lin et al., 2008) on HBOT in moderate to severe TBI, where they found that 9% of the patients experienced seizures. The dosage of HBOT in the Lin study was 2.0 ATA for 1.5 h at depth for 20 treatments, compared to our 1.5 ATA for 60 min total treatment time. The Lin seizure rate is 300 times the seizure frequency in the general HBOT population at 2.4–2.5 ATA (Clark, 2009), and 30 times the seizure rate at 2.45 ATA in acutely carbon monoxide-poisoned patients (Hampson et al., 1996). The greater seizure frequency in the Lin study is likely due to the combination of more severe brain injury, earlier treatment, no air breaks during HBOT, and the dose of 2.0 ATA for 1.5 h. Seizures at 1.5 ATA have only been reported with prolonged series of treatment, and much greater numbers of HBOTs (Harch, 2002), than those employed in the present study.

Reversible MEBT occurred in 5 of 16 subjects. Most of these occurred during the prodromal and early clinical phase of acute URIs. URI is an uncommonly recorded adverse event in HBOT, but twice/day dosing is also atypical for chronic hyperbaric indications. It is not our preferred dosing schedule, but was chosen due to limitations of time, resources, finances, and out-of-state location in this subject population. The mild immunosuppression of HBOT (Rossignol, 2007) and twice per day dosing may have contributed to the 25% URI rate.

Four of the subjects (25%) experienced a transient deterioration in symptomatology at approximately 20 HBOTs. This has not been reported previously in hyperbaric medicine. We speculate that this mid-point in the protocol represents a transition in brain wound adaptation/transformation to the repetitive effects of intermittent hyperoxia. Due to the self-limited course of this deterioration and the final response to the full course of treatment we

FIG. 3. Fusion of significant single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) clusters after 40 HBOTs with standard reference MRI T1 transverse image. Numbers correspond to the top 10 significant clusters at the $p < 0.0001$ level labeled in Table 10 numerically in order from highest T value to lowest. Significant clusters incidentally occurring on the same slices are also depicted. The color bar shows relative amplitude of rCBF improvement rCBF, regional cerebral blood flow; HBOT, hyperbaric oxygen therapy; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging).

FIG. 4. Cortical views from the front, back, right, left, inferior, and superior aspects show effects of 1 HBOT (top row) and 40 HBOTs (bottom row) at a significance level of $p < 0.001$. Significant increases are shown in red (HBOT, hyperbaric oxygen therapy).
conclude that there is no justification for cessation of HBOT during this transition.

Effectiveness of HBOT for blast TBI and PTSD

The remarkable findings in this study were the significant improvements in self-reported symptoms, physical exam changes, PCS symptoms, perceived quality of life questionnaires, affective measures (general anxiety, depression, suicidal ideation, and PTSD), cognitive measures (memory, working memory, attention, and FSIQ score), and SPECT brain blood flow imaging. The magnitude of improvement was consistent across all domains measured. These findings were mirrored by a reciprocal reduction or elimination of psychoactive and narcotic prescription medication usage in 64% of those subjects for whom they were prescribed. Spontaneous improvement as an explanation for all of these findings is inconsistent with the natural history of PCS and PTSD 2.8 years after injury.

Reduction in headaches and increase in FSIQ/cognitive function evidenced effectiveness of HBOT 1.5 ATA in the treatment of blast TBI/PCS cerebral wounds. Headache is a marker of blast-induced PCS and distinguishes PCS from PTSD (Hoge et al., 2008). In our study 13/15 (87%) patients reported a substantial reduction in headaches during the 30 days they received HBOT. A reduction in headache and improvement in PCS symptoms (39% reduction in RPCSQ, \( p=0.0002 \)) is consistent with the treatment of the extracerebral marker of PCS, as well as the associated underlying biological injury caused by TBI. This biological wound is established in our subjects due to their loss of consciousness (Lidvall, 1975; Symonds, 1962).

FSIQ increased 14.8 points to 110.6 (\( p<0.001 \)). As a global measure of cognitive function this increase is consistent with the patients’ self-reported 40% cognitive improvement, the global nature of blast brain injury, and the global improvement in blood flow seen on SPECT. Some of the IQ increase could be explained by WASI FSIQ overestimation (Axelrod, 2002) compared to the WAIS-III, but the WASI has been validated in other adult heterogeneous clinical samples (Ryan, 2003; Hays, 2002). Our study was performed on a relatively homogenous patient group. The consistency of our findings despite different ways of measuring (WASI and PBNRS) argues against a significant contribution from a WASI flaw, and is consistent with the conclusion that the HBOT did improve overall cognitive functioning.

Memory and frontal lobe function (simple sustained attention, working memory, and more complex attention) improved from what would appear to be “average” or “normal” levels to what the subjects considered to be more their “normal” levels. Our results are very similar to cognitive improvements in a controlled chronic severe TBI HBOT study (Golden et al., 2006) and case report (Hardy, 2007). While only 26% of the subjects were TBI patients in the Golden study, 35 HBOTs in 35 days caused a significant 7.19-point increase in Stroop Color/Word score compared to normal and chronic brain injury controls, both of whom had similar 30- to 35-day test/retest intervals. The test/retest effect across 1- and 2-week intervals is 3.83 points (Franzen et al., 1987). The combined effect of Golden and test/retest (7.19 + 3.83 = 11.02) is nearly identical to the 11.0 point seen increase in our study.

Changes in motor speed and fine motor coordination reached significance on only one of four measures, the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brain area</th>
<th>Cluster size ( K_E )</th>
<th>Coordinates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Occipital superior to temporal right</td>
<td>1581 38.78</td>
<td>22 -78 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Temporal superior left</td>
<td>514 33.8 -56 -12 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Temporal right</td>
<td>360 31.84 52 -32 -20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Precentral left</td>
<td>917 29.4 -44 -2 40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Parietal superior right</td>
<td>11 26.2 34 -52 60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Cuneus to occipital left</td>
<td>405 24.04 -12 -86 16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Cerebellum left</td>
<td>71 22.88 -38 -58 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Cerebellum to lingual right</td>
<td>24 22.02 14 -56 10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Post-central to supra-marginal right</td>
<td>203 21.51 36 -32 68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Rolandic operculum right</td>
<td>85 21.11 60 -18 12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FWE, family-wise error correction; HBOT, hyperbaric oxygen therapy; rCBF, regional cerebral blood flow.

FIG. 5. Fusion of significant single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) clusters after 40 HBOTs masked by clusters after 1 HBOT with standard reference MRI T1 transverse image. Numbers correspond to the top 10 significant clusters in Table 11 at the \( p<0.001 \) level when masked inclusively by results after 1 scan at the \( p<0.01 \) level, numerically ordered from highest to lowest T value. Significant clusters incidentally occurring on the same slices are also depicted. The color bar shows relative amplitude of rCBF improvement (rCBF, regional cerebral blood flow; HBOT, hyperbaric oxygen therapy; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging).
Grooved Pegboard for the dominant hand, while the P.I. recorded improvements of coordination in 90–100% of subjects who had abnormalities on baseline testing. Possible explanations for this discrepancy include: (1) testing of different sizes and groups of muscles (finger/hand for the psychometric tests versus the entire upper and lower extremities on physical exam); (2) investigator bias/non-blinding; (3) qualitative (physical exam) versus quantitative (psychometric) testing; (4) small number in the study.

The Rivermead Behavioral Memory (RBM) Paragraph Delayed Recall was the sole significant negative cognitive outcome. The RBM is only one subtest of a larger test, and was added because the test offered alternative forms of the paragraph for retesting purposes. The negative result may be a function of the limited range of the test, unequal difficulty of the different paragraphs, small number, problems with sustained attention immediately after our intensive HBOT schedule, or a true negative effect of HBOT on this component of memory.

The SPECT findings were as impressive as the cognitive improvements, and were consistent with the bi-hemispheric increases in SPECT regional cortical blood flow reported by Neubauer and Golden (Golden et al., 2002). Both texture and SPM analyses showed consistent and significant improvements in blood flow after 1 and 40 HBOTs compared to baseline, no significant difference in blood flow between 1 and 40 HBOTs, yet considerable overlap of the areas with improved blood flow after 1 and 40 HBOTs. SPM also revealed more widespread significant increases in blood flow after 40 versus 1 HBOT (more voxels and brain regions) compared to baseline, and compared to texture analysis which showed the opposite, fewer ROIs with significant increases in blood flow after 40 versus 1 HBOTs. This discrepancy was due to an increased variance in blood flow after 40 HBOTs versus 1 HBOT that is evident on the reversal of SD and CV improvements in primarily gray matter ROIs from 1 to 40 HBOTs (2/3 right and left hemisphere white matter ROIs maintained the improvement in SD and CV after 40 HBOTs that were seen after 1 HBOT). Some of the increased variance might be explained by the timing of imaging (within 4 h after the first HBOT and 48 h after the 40th HBOT), and the intensive twice/day, 5 days/week HBOT schedule. This increased variance is not captured on SPM due to the different analytical and statistical methods.

Significant improvements in SPECT occurred after both 1 and 40 HBOTs; however, by historical precedent and design symptoms, cognition, and QoL were only tested after 40 HBOTs. The symptomatic, cognitive, and QoL improvements evolved over the course of the treatment and no subject claimed significant symptomatic improvement after the first HBOT session. The dichotomous findings of SPECT improvement after 1 and 40 HBOTs and neurological function only after 40 HBOTs, and the differential effect of 40 HBOTs on white versus gray matter SPECT texture analysis strongly suggest different physiological effects of 1 and 40 HBOTs on the injured brain at different points in the treatment process. Furthermore, the differential effect of 40 HBOTs on white versus gray matter is consistent with a biological effect of repetitive HBOT 1.5 ATA on the primary injury site in mild to moderate TBI, the white matter (Kraus et al., 2007; Lipton et al., 2009).

An unexpected finding was the confirmation of a reduction in PTSD that was symptomatically observed in our first published case of PCS/PTSD (Harch et al., 2009a). In the present study subjects achieved a 30% reduction in PTSD scores in a 30-day period. A biological substrate for this HBOT effect is difficult to identify. Symptomatically, combat blast-induced PCS is inextricably interwoven with blast-induced PTSD. PCS and PTSD share some common biological pathways, processes, and anatomy in the brain (Kennedy et al., 2007). The hippocampus, in particular, is a pathological target in both PCS (Umile, 2002) and PTSD (Bremner, 2007; Wang, 2010; Woon and Hedges, 2008). HBOT treatment of hippocampal PCS injury may explain some of the observed effect on PTSD symptom reduction seen in our study.

Explanatory mechanisms for the HBOT effects are numerous. Neubauer and associates (Neubauer et al., 1990) demonstrated that increased brain blood flow after a single HBOT in chronic cerebral ischemia (the Neubauer effect) predicted subsequent neurological improvement with repetitive HBOT. Ischemia is a known pathological process in TBI (Gaetz, 2004). Focal ischemia causes a post-transcriptional metabolic/protein synthesis impairment to neurons, termed the ischemic freeze (Hossman, 1993). The first HBOT may override this ischemic freeze, consistent with Siddiqui’s demonstration of improved oxygen capacitance of non-CNS ischemic tissue (Siddiqui et al., 1997). The increase in blood flow on SPECT after 1 HBOT session in our study may reflect this reversal of impaired protein synthesis. Simultaneously, it may test vascular reserve capacity similarly to the Wada test (Vorstrup, 1988).

**FIG. 6.** Fusion of significant single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) hippocampal increases in rCBF with standard reference MRI T1 transverse, sagittal, and coronal slices after 1 HBOT (row A) and 40 HBOTs (row C; p < 0.001 with FWE; FWE, family-wise error correction; rCBF, regional cerebral blood flow; HBOT, hyperbaric oxygen therapy; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.)
The global improvements in brain blood flow after 1 HBOT in our subjects were associated with improved function after 40 HBOTs, thus supporting the Neubauer effect’s prediction of neurological improvement. SPM analysis demonstrated considerable overlap of the areas with improved blood flow after 1 HBOT with those after 40 HBOTs, indicating that the areas identified on SPECT by the Neubauer effect are likely those responsible for neurological improvement after 40 HBOTs. We have demonstrated the Neubauer effect in severe chronic TBI patients (Harch and Neubauer, 1999, 2004a, 2009b, 2009c; Harch et al., 1994, 1996a; Neubauer et al., 1994), along with a pattern shift on SPECT after the first HBOT. The pattern shift consists of normalization (a relative decrease in high and increase in low blood flow; Harch and Neubauer, 1999, 2004b; Harch et al., 1996a) that is captured by a reduction in SD and CV in this study. The first HBOT would not be expected to improve function, however, likely due to the limited impact of a single HBOT on blast-induced degenerated white matter (Bauman et al., 2009).

The increased blood flow on SPECT, variance in MCP change, and improved neurological function seen after 40 HBOT sessions suggests a set of mechanisms different from those that occur after 1 HBOT session. We propose that these mechanisms are the typical trophic mechanisms of HBOT in chronic non-central nervous system wounds (Gesell, 2009). Repetitive HBOT stimulates angiogenesis in chronic non-CNS wounded tissue (Marx et al., 1990), most likely by genomic effects (Godman et al., 2009), and has been shown to increase blood vessel density in injured hippocampus in our chronic rat TBI model, where the progenitor of this HBOT protocol was tested (Harch et al., 2007). HBOT-induced increased hippocampal blood vessel density in this model highly correlated with improved spatial learning and memory. In our subjects SPECT SPM analysis showed significant improvements in blood flow in the hippocampus, while our subjects achieved significant gains in memory. These blood flow and memory improvements seen in our subjects are consistent with a trophic effect of HBOT on chronic brain wounding in the hippocampus, and possible healing/reinnervation of denervated tissue (Bauman et al., 2009).

Other mechanisms may contribute to the HBOT effects seen in our study. A single hyperbaric oxygen reoxygenation session causes prolonged excitability and neural plasticity of hippocampal neurons after exposure to hypoxia (Garcia et al., 2010), consistent with the Neubauer effect generated in this study. Repetitive HBOT has shown increased neurogenesis and cerebral blood flow in chronic global ischemia (Zhang et al., 2010). Zhang and associates administered repetitive HBOT 30 days after ischemic insult, similar to the 50-day delay in our animal model (Harch et al., 2007). Neurogenesis has been shown to occur in association with angiogenesis (Palmer et al., 2000). As mentioned above, angiogenesis is a known trophic mechanism of HBOT, and may be responsible for the increased blood vessel density seen in our animal model (Harch et al., 2007). HBOT has also been shown to cause the release of bone marrow stem cells into the peripheral circulation (Thom et al., 2006). Peripheral stem cells are known to cross the blood–brain barrier (Mezey et al., 2003).

The limitations of the present study were a lack of confirmation of post-injury brain MRI results in some subjects, unblinded investigators (except for the SPECT brain imaging SPM analysis), and lack of a control group. The lack of confirmation of brain MRI findings in a few subjects could confound study results only by inadvertent inclusion of non-clinically-apparent neurological disease that was manifest on MRI alone. We believe this is a very remote possibility; these young men were highly fit pre-military, underwent regular fitness evaluations while in the military, and had no pre-morbid disqualifying conditions. All symptomatology commenced with the incident blast and was present continuously since the blast. Routine late MRI evaluations in mild to moderate TBI are usually negative, consistent with the majority of the scans in our subjects. We presume the few missing data points would similarly be normal or non-contributory.

Investigator bias and placebo effects possibly contributed to the magnitude of some of the effects we measured, but are unlikely to account for the majority of the effects or the consistency and magnitude of the effects seen across all domains, particularly SPECT. Investigator bias could be present in the P.I.’s symptom and physical exam recording, and in S.R.A.’s neuropsychological testing, but it does not explain the significant SPECT findings for which separate independent analyses, one of which was blinded, were performed by E.F.F. in North Dakota and D.A. and D.V.T. in California. None of the SPECT co-investigators interacted with the subjects, and they performed their analyses months after the subjects had completed their final imaging. Importantly, the blinded SPECT analyst, D.V.T., produced the most significant statistical results.

Placebo effects cannot be entirely ruled out; however, there are multiple arguments against this notion. Treatment effect size in two meta-analyses of randomized placebo-controlled trials versus observational studies performed on the same treatments has been shown to be very similar (Benson and Hartz, 2000; Concato et al., 2000). This suggests that placebo effects are overestimated in observational studies such as ours. Placebo effects on many of the cognitive measures in our study have been reported to be smaller than the changes we found with HBOT for FSIQ and WMS Visual Immediate and Delayed Memory (Doraiswamy et al., 2007), for Stroop Reaction Time (Calabrese et al., 2008), and for Stroop Color/Word raw score (Jorge et al., 2010). The placebo effects reported on SPECT in psychiatric disease, in healthy individuals, and in neurological disease have shown focal changes in regional cerebral blood flow (Beauregard, 2009), most commonly in the inferior frontal gyrus, striatum, and rostral anterior cingulate cortex (Jarcho et al., 2009). The global diffuse changes we measured have not been reported. In addition, it is highly improbable that a placebo effect could account for the multiplicity of differential changes on SPECT seen after 1 and 40 HBOTs using two different forms of mathematical/statistical analyses. Lastly, the parallel improvements in memory scores and hippocampal blood flow are inconsistent with a placebo effect.

Test/retest practice effects could explain some of the cognitive improvements; however, practice effects do not fully explain our measured increases for seven reasons. (1) Practice effects on the WAIS-III FSIQ over a mean 34.6-day retest interval have been shown to be 2.0–3.2 points across all age groups, 6 points in the 16- to 29-year-old group, and decrease with age; our subjects averaged 30 years old (Tulsky and Zhu, 1997). They have also been shown to increase 6 points over 3- or 6-month retest times (Basso et al., 2002). Six points is 41% of the measured FSIQ increase on the WAIS-IV in our subjects.
be beneficial.

In conclusion, application of a lower-pressure protocol of 40 HBOTs at 1.5 ATA to a 16-subject cohort of military subjects with blast-induced chronic PCS and PTSD was found to be safe. One fourth of the subjects experienced transient clinical deterioration halfway through the protocol and one subject did not finish. Simultaneously, as a group the 15 subjects experienced notable improvements in symptoms, abnormal physical exam findings, cognitive testing, PCS and PTSD symptom questionnaires, quality-of-life questionnaires, depression and anxiety indices, and SPECT brain blood flow imaging that are inconsistent with the natural history of PCS 2.8 years post-injury. The symptomatic improvements were present at 6-month phone follow-up in 92% of subjects who reported improvement after 40 HBOTs. More objective psychometric testing and SPECT imaging were not performed to confirm the durability of the HBOT treatment effect. Sixty-four percent of the patients on psychoactive and narcotic prescription medications were able to decrease or eliminate use of these medications. These data are preliminary and need confirmation with larger numbers of subjects or with a stronger design such as a randomized or Bayesian study.
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APPENDIX

Standardized questionnaire:

1. Energy level on 1–10 scale (10 was pre-LOC energy level, 0 is inability to get out of bed).
2. Weight change since injury.
3. Mood swings.
4. Irritability/short temper.
5. Mood, 1–10 scale (10 is happiest in life, 0 is not wanting to live).
6. Cranial and cranial nerve symptoms: headache, dizziness, visual symptoms, loss of hearing, tinnitus, vertigo, change in smell/taste, trouble talking, enunciating, swallowing, or chewing.
7. Sensory symptoms: numbness, tingling.
8. Motor: focal or generalized weakness.
10. Cognitive: trouble thinking/grasping ideas, organizing thoughts, decreased speed of thinking, confusion, problems following directions/instructions, difficulty expressing thoughts/word-finding, forgetfulness, misplacing/losing things, problems remembering old information or new information, losing one’s place in thought or conversation or while driving, going blank, staring episodes, feeling suddenly lost or disoriented, concentration/attention problems, difficulty writing, family or friends commenting on change in personality or behavior.

11. Joint pain or swelling.
12. Incontinence of bowel or bladder.

Neurological exam:

1. Cranial nerves: II–XII.
2. Deep tendon reflexes upper and lower extremities.
4. Sensory: pinprick and touch in the four extremities.
5. Gait: normal, tandem (slow and fast).